The following information resources have been selected by the National Health Library and Knowledge Service Evidence Virtual Team in response to your question. The resources are listed in our estimated order of relevance to practicing healthcare professionals confronted with this scenario in an Irish context. In respect of the evolving global situation and rapidly changing evidence base, it is advised to use hyperlinked sources in this document to ensure that the information you are disseminating to the public or applying in clinical practice is the most current, valid and accurate. For further information on the methodology used in the compilation of this document— including a complete list of sources consulted —please see our National Health Library and Knowledge Service Summary of Evidence Protocol.

YOUR QUESTION

What is the evidence for mass screening of asymptomatic HCWs?

IN A NUTSHELL

Treibel et al\(^5\) state that RNA testing to prevalent infection is a key part of the exit strategy, but the role of testing for asymptomatic infection remains unclear. Understanding the determinants of asymptomatic or pauci-symptomatic infection will provide new opportunities for personalised risk stratification and reveal much-needed correlates of protective immunity, whether induced by vaccination or natural exposure. The authors suggest that the rate of asymptomatic infection among HCWs more probably reflects general community transmission than in-hospital exposure. Prospective patients should be reassured that as the overall epidemic wave recedes, asymptomatic infection among HCWs is low and may not be a major source of transmission. The authors conclude that study data reinforce the importance of epidemic multi-timepoint surveillance of HCWs. The data also suggest that a testing strategy should link population-representative epidemiological surveillance to predict prevalence with adaptive testing for symptomatic individuals at times of low prevalence and rapidly expanding to include asymptomatic HCWs during possible new infection waves.

Black et al\(^10\) put forward the case for mass testing of both symptomatic and asymptomatic HCWs to: 1. mitigate workforce depletion by unnecessary quarantine; 2. reduce spread in atypical, mild or asymptomatic cases; and 3. protect the healthcare workforce. HCW testing could reduce in-hospital transmission. In a retrospective, single-centre study in Wuhan, 41% of 138 patients were thought to have acquired infection in hospital. The scale of this problem is not yet fully understood; nor is the full potential for asymptomatic and presymptomatic HCWs to transmit infection to patients who do not have COVID-19, other HCWs or the public. However, given that
asymptomatic transmission has been documented, utmost caution is urged.

West et al\textsuperscript{6} focus on the problem of false-negative tests and argue that for HCWs in endemic areas, return to work after negative testing may need to be delayed until more sensitive tests can be administered and repeat testing is negative.

Two American Universities\textsuperscript{8, 9} are currently conducting research on testing asymptomatic HCWs. The objective of the research from the University of Colorado is to enact an early warning system in long-term care facilities which would permit temporarily removing asymptomatic but COVID-19 positive caregivers from the workforce until they are no longer virus shedding. The School of Public Health at the University of Minnesota is researching how common asymptomatic carriage of the COVID-19 virus is among HCWs.

\underline{IRISH AND INTERNATIONAL GUIDANCE}

\textbf{What does the HIQA say?}

\textit{Health Information and Quality Authority (2020) Evidence Summary for Asymptomatic Transmission of COVID-19}\textsuperscript{1}

Of the studies on pre-symptomatic transmission, 6 out of 11 were based on transmission through families, 2 through the workplace, 1 through a social gathering and 1 in a hospital setting between a visitor and a patient. In Wei et al\textsuperscript{2}, of the 7 COVID-19 epidemiologic clusters included, 3 were household clusters, 2 were between friends, 1 was due to proximity in a church and 1 appeared to be environmental contamination in a church. All 5 studies reporting on asymptomatic transmission involved transmission of COVID-19 between family members.
INTERNATIONAL LITERATURE

What does the international literature say?


Here we outline the case for mass testing of both symptomatic and asymptomatic health-care workers to: 1. mitigate workforce depletion by unnecessary quarantine; 2. reduce spread in atypical, mild or asymptomatic cases; and 3. protect the healthcare workforce.

HCW testing could reduce in-hospital transmission. In a retrospective, single-centre study in Wuhan, 41% of 138 patients were thought to have acquired infection in hospital. The scale of this problem is not yet fully understood, nor is the full potential for asymptomatic and presymptomatic HCWs to transmit infection to patients who do not have COVID-19, other HCWs or the public. However, given that asymptomatic transmission has been documented, utmost caution is urged.


Significant differences exist in the availability of healthcare worker SARS-CoV-2 testing between countries, and existing programmes focus on screening symptomatic rather than asymptomatic staff. Over a 3-week period in April 2020, 1,032 asymptomatic HCWs were screened for SARS-CoV-2 in a large UK hospital. Symptomatic staff and symptomatic household contacts were additionally tested. Real-time PCR was used to detect viral RNA from a throat and nose self-swab. 3% of HCWs in the asymptomatic screening group tested positive for SARS-CoV-2. 17/30 [57%] were truly asymptomatic/pauci-symptomatic. 12/30 [40%] had experienced symptoms compatible with COVID-19 >7 days prior to testing, most self-isolating, returning well. Clusters of HCW infection were discovered on two independent wards. Viral genome sequencing showed that the majority of HCWs had the dominant lineage B:1. Our data demonstrates the utility of comprehensive screening of HCWs with minimal or no symptoms which will be of critical importance in protecting patients and hospital staff.
Treibel et al (2020) COVID-19: PCR Screening of Asymptomatic Health-Care Workers at London Hospital

RNA testing to prevalent infection is a key part of the exit strategy, but the role of testing for asymptomatic infection remains unclear. Understanding the determinants of asymptomatic or pauci-symptomatic infection will provide new opportunities for personalised risk stratification and reveal much-needed correlates of protective immunity, whether induced by vaccination or natural exposure. We set up COVIDSORTIUM [NCT04318314], a bioresource focusing on asymptomatic healthcare workers at Barts Health NHS Trust, London, to collect data through 16 weekly assessments with a health questionnaire, nasal swab and blood samples; and a further two concluding assessments at 6 and 12 months. HCWs were self-declared as healthy and fit to work for study visits. Participants were not given swab results and those with symptoms or in self-isolation resumed study visits on return to work.

The number and percentage of asymptomatic HCWs who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 on consecutive weeks from March 23, 2020: 28 [7.1%; 95% CI 4.9–10.0] of 396 HCWs in week 1; 14 [4.9%; 3.0–8.1] of 284 HCWs in week 2; 4 [1.5%; 0.6–3.8] of 263 HCWs in week 3; 4 [1.5%; 0.6–3.8] of 267 HCWs in week 4; and 3 [1.1%, 0.4–3.2] of 269 HCWs in week 5 [figure]. 7 HCWs tested positive on two consecutive timepoints, and 1 HCW tested positive on three consecutive timepoints. During this time, 50 HCWs — not necessarily those who were SARS-CoV-2 positive — self-isolated for symptoms. Of the 44 HCWs who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2, 12 [27%] had no symptoms in the week before or after positivity.

Testing of HCWs has so far been restricted to symptomatic individuals, and no studies have reported serial testing in high-exposure asymptomatic volunteers. If our results are generalisable to the wider HCW population, then asymptomatic infection rates among HCWs tracked the London general population infection curve, peaking at 7.1% and falling six-fold over 4 weeks, despite the persistence of a high burden of COVID-19 patients through this
time [representing most inpatients]. Taken together, these data suggest that
the rate of asymptomatic infection among HCWs more probably reflects
general community transmission than in-hospital exposure. Prospective
patients should be reassured that as the overall epidemic wave recedes,
asymptomatic infection among HCWs is low and may not be a major source
of transmission.

These data reinforce the importance of epidemic multi-timepoint
surveillance of HCWs. The data also suggest that a testing strategy should
link population-representative epidemiological surveillance to predict
prevalence with adaptive testing for symptomatic individuals at times of low
prevalence and rapidly expanding to include asymptomatic HCWs during
possible new infection waves.

Results**

As tests become more available, observing principles of evidence-based
clinical reasoning concerning the meaning of diagnostic test results is
essential. For negative test results in particular, failure to do so has direct
implications for the safety of the public and health care workers and for the
success of efforts to curb the pandemic. Specifically, anticipation of a less-
visible second wave of infection from individuals with false-negative test
results is needed.

**OTHER**

**Devlin (2020) [News Article] “Healthcare Workers Should Be Screened for
COVID-19 Every Week”**

Healthcare workers should be screened for COVID-19 every week to protect
patients from asymptomatic infection, the head of the Francis Crick
Institute’s testing facility has said. The call comes amid concerns that
hospitals are becoming hotspots for disease transmission and evidence that
a significant fraction of those infected show few or no symptoms. The
Institute is next week launching a pilot to screen staff at University College
Hospital to identify asymptomatic COVID-19 cases, but the approach has not
been explicitly endorsed by the government and there have been no
indications that this is being considered as a national strategy.
Over the last two months, a lab run by Greg Ebel, Professor in the Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Pathology, tested samples from 462 healthcare workers in Colorado to determine if workers without symptoms were silently carrying the virus. The tests identified 57 people who tested positive for COVID-19 but had no symptoms. The purpose of the research, Ehrhart explained, is to enact an early warning system in long-term care facilities that would permit temporarily removing asymptomatic but COVID-19 positive caregivers from the workforce until they are no longer virus shedding.

A new School of Public Health study will test 500 health workers without symptoms of COVID-19 to see what proportion of them are actually infected with SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes the illness. “The study will reveal how common asymptomatic carriage of the COVID-19 virus is among health care workers,” says Demmer. “The findings can help health care leaders improve policies and procedures to keep health care workers and patients safe.”

The following PICO(T) was used as a basis for the evidence summary:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>COVID-19 ASYMMPTOMATIC HEALTHCARE WORKERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In the same</td>
<td>SCREENING/TESTING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison</td>
<td>REDUCED TRANSMISSION IN HEALTHCARE SETTINGS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following search strategy was used:

(MH "CORONAVIRUS+" OR ( COVID-19 OR CORONAVIRUS OR "CORONA VIRUS" OR (WUHAN N2 VIRUS) OR ( "2019-NCOV" OR "2019 NCOV") OR "SARS-COV-2" OR "SEVERE ACUTE RESPIRATORY SYNDROME CORONAVIRUS 2" OR ( "2019" AND (NEW OR NOVEL) AND CORONAVIRUS ) )

AND

(HEALTHCARE N2 (WORKER* OR STAFF OR PERSONNEL OR PROFESSIONAL*) ) OR ( 'HEALTH CARE' N2 (WORKER* OR STAFF OR PERSONNEL OR PROFESSIONAL*) ) OR ( 'NURSING HOME' N2 (WORKER* OR STAFF OR PERSONNEL OR PROFESSIONAL*) ) OR ( 'NURSING HOMES' N2 (WORKER* OR STAFF OR PERSONNEL OR PROFESSIONAL*) ) OR ( RESIDENT OR "MEDICAL STUDENT" OR 'MEDICAL STUDENTS' OR REGISTRAR* OR INTERNS OR PARAMEDICS OR DOCTOR OR DOCTORS OR 'MEDICAL PERSONNEL' OR 'MEDICAL STAFF' ) OR ( 'ALLIED HEALTH' N2 (WORKER* OR STAFF OR PERSONNEL OR PROFESSIONAL*) ) OR ( 'PHARMACY' N2 (WORKER* OR STAFF OR PERSONNEL OR PROFESSIONAL*) ) OR ( PHYSICIAN* OR 'MEDICAL PERSONNEL') OR (PHARMACY* OR 'ALLIED HEALTH PERSONNEL')

AND

(MH "ASYMPTOMATIC DISEASES+" OR MH "ASYMPTOMATIC INFECTIONS") OR ASYMPTOMATIC OR PRE-SYMPTOMATIC OR "PRE-SYMPTOMATIC"

AND

(MH "MASS SCREENING+" OR MH "DIAGNOSTIC SCREENING PROGRAMS" OR MH "DIRECT-TO-CONSUMER SCREENING AND TESTING") OR SCREENING OR TESTING
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